

Richard C. Miller, F0458
BANES, HOREY, BERMAN, & MILLER, LLC
Second Floor, Marianas Business Plaza
P.O. Box 501969
Saipan, MP 96950
Telephone No. (670) 234-5684
Facsimile No. (670) 234-5683
Attorney for Angel Playing Cards U.S.A., Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS**

U.S.A. FANTER CORPORATION,)	Case No. 1:20-CV-00003
LTD.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION TO REPURCHASE AND
)	PETITION TO CONFIRM SALE
v.)	
)	
IMPERIAL PACIFIC)	Judge: Ramona V. Manglona, C.J.
INTERNATIONAL (CNMI), LLC,)	Date: n/a
)	Time: n/a
Defendant.)	
)	

This stipulation is in regard to an agreement between the court-appointed limited receiver Clear Management Group (“Clear Management”) and Angel Playing Cards U.S.A., Inc. (“Angel”) for Angel to repurchase all 201 Super Angel Eye dealing shoes in the custody and control of Clear Management at the unit price of \$1,500.00, for a total of \$301,500.00, and to repurchase all decks of Angel playing cards in Clear Management’s possession, the precise number of which remains to be determined, at the unit price of \$0.10 per deck.

Angel, Clear Management, and the plaintiffs in *Wang v. Gold Mantis Construction Decoration (CNMI), LLC*, Case No. 1:18-cv-00030 (D. N. Mar. I.) (the “*Wang Plaintiffs*”) (together, the “Parties”) stipulate as follows:

1. Angel previously sold a series of products to Defendant Imperial Pacific International (CNMI), LLC (“IP”), including dealing shoes and playing cards.

1 2. On October 26, 2021, the Court appointed Clear Management as limited receiver to take
2 possession of and sell at auction IPI's casino gaming equipment. (Memo. Decision, ECF No. 166.)

3 3. The casino property subject to sale by Clear Management includes 201 units of Super Angel
4 Eye automatic dealing shoes.

5 4. In a motion (ECF No. 175) to enjoin the auction of Angel products, Angel asserted that IPI
6 acquired only a right to use Angel products and that, therefore, Clear Management cannot transfer
7 title to Angel products to purchasers at auction.

8 5. At a hearing on November 29, 2021, the Court stayed the auction of Angel products for 30
9 days. (Minutes, ECF No. 197.)

10 6. At a hearing on December 17, 2021, the Court issued the first of a series of orders staying
11 the receivership. (Minutes, ECF No. 214.)

12 7. At a hearing on April 14, 2022, the Court denied Angel's motion without prejudice and with
13 the understanding that if the stay is ever lifted, Angel may refile the motion. (Minutes, ECF No.
14 256.)

15 8. On August 16, 2022, the Court lifted the stay on the Limited Receivership. (Minutes, ECF
16 No. 282.)

17 9. Since the lifting of the stay, Angel and Clear Management have negotiated for Angel to
18 repurchase the 201 dealing shoes at the unit price of \$1,500.00 for a total of \$301,500.00. The
19 market price for purchasing new Super Angel Eye dealing shoes is \$4,200.00 per unit. It is the
20 opinion of Clear Management that the market for the pre-owned dealing shoes items is extremely
21 limited, given their age and the adverse storage conditions the shoes have been exposed to. No bids
22 were received on the shoes before the initial auction was stayed.

1 10. Accordingly, Clear Management and Angel agree that the proposed repurchase price for the
2 dealing shoes is reasonable and represents the maximum value that could be obtained for the pre-
3 owned dealing shoes in the present market.

4
5 11. In 2016, IPI and Angel entered into an agreement for IPI to purchase a total of 1,935,360
6 decks of playing cards over a period of time. The final purchase and shipment of 161,2080 decks
7 occurred in January 2020. Clear Management has yet to determine the number of decks in
8 inventory. Because playing cards have a limited lifespan and are routinely destroyed or canceled
9 when a casino takes them out of use, the inventory of decks is believed to be substantially less than
10 1.9 million.

11
12 12. Angel and Clear Management have agreed that Angel may repurchase any decks that are
13 located at a price of \$0.10 per deck. It is the opinion of Clear Management that the market for pre-
14 owned playing cards is almost nonexistent, as they cannot be used in a casino and can only be used,
15 if anything, for training purposes.

16
17 13. Accordingly, Clear Management and Angel agree that the proposed repurchase price for the
18 card decks is reasonable and represents more than the maximum value that could be obtained for
19 them in the present market.

20 14. The proposed sale of dealing shoes and card decks also avoids delay and litigation costs if
21 Angel were to refile its motion to enjoin the auctioning of its products, and mitigates the risk that
22 fewer than all units would sell at the initial auction.

23
24 15. Angel has also agreed to bear any and all shipping costs for repurchased products.

25 16. The Parties therefore agree that the proposed sale to Angel is in the best interest of IPI's
26 creditors and maximizes the value that can be obtained through the sale of the dealing shoes.

1 17. Accordingly, the Parties petition the Court to issue an order setting a hearing, pursuant to LR
2 63.1(g), to confirm the proposed sale, on a date no sooner than ten (10) days from the date of the order so
3 as to provide all interested parties with sufficient notice of the hearing.
4

5 Respectfully submitted: September 2, 2022.

6 _____/s/_____
7 Richard C. Miller
8 BANES HOREY BERMAN & MILLER, LLC
9 Attorneys for Angel Playing Cards, U.S.A., Inc.

10 _____/s/_____
11 Michael A. White, Esq.
12 Attorney for Clear Management Group

13 _____/s/_____
14 Aaron Halegua
15 Attorney for *Wang* Plaintiffs
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28